BRIEF RESEARCH COMMUNICATION

A follow-up study on post myocardial infarction depression in a tertiary healthcare centre of Assam

Abstract

Background: Major depressive disorder is widely prevalent in post myocardial infarction (MI) period. Various studies have reported a significant relationship between these two major disease states. Aim: To examine depression after MI and its socio-demographic variation. Methods: The study was a follow up study on patients of acute MI (n=50) attending cardiology outpatient department of the Assam Medical College Hospital in four to six weeks after the index event. Screening was done by the Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders and diagnoses of major depressive disorder were established according to the text revision of the fourth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders criteria. Severity of the depression was assessed by the Beck Depression Inventory. Study populations were again reassessed after eight weeks from the index event. Statistical software packages like SPSS-20, XLSTAT, and Microsoft Office Access were used for analysis. Results: Twenty eight and 32% of the study subjects were depressed on their first and second visit respectively. Conclusion: Similar pattern of post MI depression is found in our state likewise in correlation to western and Indian studies which were conducted in different states. So we recommend vigilance from the clinician's side while handling cases of MI.

Keywords: Coronary Artery Disease. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Major Depressive Disorder.

Hemanta Dutta¹, Soumitra Ghosh², DI Dutta³

¹Senior Resident, Lokopriya Gopinath Bordoloi Regional Institute of Mental Health, Tezpur, Assam, India, ²Associate Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Assam Medical College Hospital, Dibrugarh, Assam, India, ³Associate Professor, Department of Cardiology, Assam Medical College Hospital, Dibrugarh, Assam, India

Corresponding author: Dr. Hemanta Dutta, MD, Senior Resident, Lokopriya Gopinath Bordoloi Regional Institute of Mental Health, PO-Tezpur, Dist-Sonitpur, Assam, India, Pin-784001. rubulpd1984@rediffmail.com

Received: 5 January 2015 Revised: 30 May 2015 Accepted: 25 August 2015 Epub: 21 October 2015

DOI: 10.5958/2394-2061.2016.00006.9

Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) or ischaemic heart disease (IHD) "is a blockage or narrowing of the arteries that supply blood to the heart muscle, often due to a buildup of fatty plaque inside the arteries. A severe enough blockage may cause a heart attack. If not quickly treated, the blockage can cause parts of the heart muscle to die".[1] The World Health Organization estimated in 2004 that 12.2% of worldwide deaths were from IHD, with it being the leading cause of death in high or middle income countries and second only to lower respiratory infections in lower income countries.[2] Coronary heart disease (CHD) prevalence appears to be worsening in India. In developed countries, IHD is predicted to raise 30-60% between 1990 and 2020. In developing countries, rates are predicted to increase by 120% in women and 137% in men from 1990 to 2020.[3] A study conducted in 2006 from rural Andhra Pradesh, India states that among 1354 deaths, 32% deaths were due to IHD.[4]

Depression is a widely prevalent disease. Kessler et al. [5] reported lifetime prevalence of depression is 16.6%. According to the global burden of disease study 2010, major depression is a significant risk factor for CHD [6] Clinical depression was found to be an independent risk factor for death, five years after the acute myocardial infarction (MI). Even minor depression increased the risk

of dying. Although it is not yet known whether treating depression can improve survival.[7] Various studies have demonstrated that depression is widely prevalent among patients who have experienced an episode of MI. They have administered different psychiatric disorder assessment tools like Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS), Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview etc. on their patients and reported that the prevalence of post MI depression is 20-32%.[8-15] Heart rate variability (HRV), an index of cardiac autonomic control, is reduced in depression, and reduced variability has been shown to be a predictor of sudden death in cardiac patients. HRV is even lower in depressed patients with CAD as compared to non-depressed patients with CAD.[16]

In comparison to the west, very few studies have been done in India, especially in the northeast region. This study along these lines intends to find whether the comparable scenario of post MI depression has been seen in our state also, and additionally, with the goal that we can take a holistic approach to their problems and relieve them of their distress.

Materials and method

Study area: The study was done in Assam Medical College Hospital (AMCH), which is a tertiary care center situated in Dibrugarh.

The design of the study: The study subjects were 50 randomly selected diagnosed cases of MI from the Cardiology outpatient department. The period of the study was one year (June 2012-May 2013). Socio-demographic information was gathered as per the prepared standard questionnaire. Ethical approval and consent of the patients were obtained in the initial portion of the study. Patients were evaluated for screening of depression by using the Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders (PRIME-MD) Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ) after four to six weeks from an attack of MI, as by that time the normal psychological reaction to MI is supposed to have settled.

During screening, patients who were detected to be experiencing depression and fulfilling the criteria according to the text revision of the fourth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorder (DSM-IV-TR) were selected for the study. Later on, BDI was applied to assess the severity of depression. Patients were reassessed again after eight weeks from the index event.

The aim of the study: To study depression after an episode of MI and its socio-demographic variation.

Inclusion criteria: a) Both male and female patients, b) Age between 21-70 year, c) Diagnosed cases of MI as per redefined acute MI criteria.[17]

Exclusion criteria: a) Patients aged more than 70 years were left out as there will be a heavier hazard of other co-morbid physical illness as well as psychological issues associated with old age, b) Patients with other co-morbid medical illness and history of other psychiatric disorders.

Tools which were used in the study are: a) Informed consent form, b) Proforma for socioeconomic data, c) PRIME-MD PHQ, d) DSM-IV-TR, e) BDI.

Informed consent form: A self-designed informed consent form, which explains the nature of the study, the contents of which were explained in vernacular language, was read out to the subjects of study and their signatures or thumb prints in case of illiterates were obtained. They were also explained that they could leave the study if they desired without their treatment being affected in any manner.

Proforma for socioeconomic data: A self-designed form to collect personal and socio-demographic details of the subjects had been used.

PRIME-MD PHQ: A diagnostic tool containing modules on five different mental health disorders was developed in the mid-1990s. The PHQ-9, a tool specific to depression, simply scores each of the nine DSM-IV criteria based on the mood module from the original PRIME-MD.[18]

DSM: DSM, published by the American Psychiatric Association, provides a common language and standard criteria for the classification of mental disorders. DSM-IV-TR was published in 2000.[19]

BDI: BDI, created by Aaron T Beck, is a 21-question multiple-choice self-report inventory; one of the most widely used instruments for measuring the severity of depression.[20] BDI is a clinician scale. We have administered the Assamese version of the scale to the Assamese speaking population.

Translated Assamese version of BDI was used in this study, which was used earlier also.[21] The cutoff score of BDI was as per the interpretation provided with the scale. The standard cutoffs are as follows:[22]

- 0-9: Indicates minimal depression
- 10–18: Indicates mild depression
- 19–29: Indicates moderate depression
- 30-63: Indicates severe depression.

Statistical data analysis: The information has been analysed using statistical software packages like SPSS, XLSTAT, and Microsoft Office Access.

Results

Analysis of the study population suffering from depression has shown that 28 and 32% of the population were depressed in their first and second visits respectively. In the first visit, eight per cent were mildly depressed, 16% were moderately depressed, and four per cent were severely depressed. In the second visit, eight, 20, and four per cent were depressed mildly, moderately, and severely respectively. Results have been demonstrated in Table 1.

Cross tabulation analysis has been carried out of different variables with reference to two population groups, i.e. a group with no depression and a group suffering from depression. In case of gender, distribution reveals that 25% among the population suffering from depression were females and 75% were males. As far as the religion, 75% were Hindu and rest 25% were Muslim. While coming to marital status, 81.25% were married, but only 18.75% were unmarried. In the event of locality, 43.75 and 37.5% were from rural and semi-urban background respectively. Regarding the type of family, 68.75% were from nuclear families, 31.25% were from joint family; persons from extended family have not shown depressive symptoms. Examination of educational level depicts 31.25% of the depressed population group had completed high school certificate, 25% primary school, and 25% had completed graduation or post graduation. Only 12.25% people were illiterate. In case of occupation, 37.5% were unemployed, and the

Table 1: Distribution of the study population based on depression

Depression	Sex				Total	Total	Total % of
	Male	%	Female	%		(%)	depression
1st visit							
No	27	54	9	18	36	72	28
Mild	2	4	2	4	4	8	
Moderate	6	12	2	4	8	16	
Severe	2	4	0	0	2	4	
Total	37	74	13	26	50	100	
2 nd visit							
No	25	50	9	18	34	68	32
Mild	2	4	2	4	4	8	
Moderate	8	16	2	4	10	20	
Severe	2	4	0	0	2	4	
Total	37	74	13	26	50	100	

rest (62.5%) were employed. 37.5% of the population suffering from depression belonged to lower middle socioeconomic class, and the rest belonged to upper middle (56.25%) and upper lower (6.25%) socioeconomic class. Descriptive analysis of the study population with reference to depression has shown following distribution. The results are presented in Table 2.

Discussion

In our study, 14 (28%) out of 50 patients developed depression in their first visit. Two more patients developed depression in their subsequent visits, i.e. a total of 32% patients developed depression approximately eight weeks after the attack of MI. Among depressed, ten, 18, and four per cent had mild, moderate, and severe depression respectively. Our study results are very nearly as per the past studies like Lane et al.,[8] Lauzon et al.,[9] Calderón et al.,[10] Mavrides and Nemeroff,[11] Wheeler et al.,[12] Manjarrez-Gutiérrez et al.,[13] that have reported depression in 16-32% of the population who has suffered from an episode of MI. Our study results are likewise as per previous Indian studies like Mahapatra et al.[14] and Agarwal et al.[15] alsoadditionally. Like our study, aforementioned previous studies have used standard tools like BDI, HDRS, etc. to assess depression. In our northeast region, particularly in our state, studies have been carried out to evaluate depression in post MI period. Anyhow they are less reported. Our study place, i.e. AMCH is a tertiary care centre for healthcare in Assam. It plays a dominant role in treating and management of patients with MI, mainly from the state. So, our study plans to figure out whether the comparable scenario of post MI depression is observed in our patients.

However, the current study contrasts from the investigations of Martin *et al.*[23] and Kessler *et al.*[24] who have reported depression in only ten to 16% of post MI people. The discoveries of present study which are not as per the prior studies conceivably because of difference in methodological approach and furthermore because of the smaller number of patients inspected in the present study.

While remarking on socio-demographic variation of major depressive disorder (MDD) of our study population, similar trend has been observed in terms of age, marital status, type of family, and locality in comparison to earlier reports.[25,26] We have watched that middle aged group, married, persons belong to rural areas and nuclear families are more prone for development of MDD. In any case, divergence with past reports has been found in our study regarding gender, educational status, socioeconomic status, and occupational status. They have reported that major depression is more common in females in comparison to males, lower socioeconomic and educational status.[25-27] The conceivable clarifications for these aberrations could be because of low number of specimen size in our work; along with we have searched depression in a specific population, i.e. who has suffered from MI especially in a tertiary care institute. In case of religion, we have seen that Hindu peoples were more affected with post MI in correlation to the other alternative groups. No previous report has been sought which are searched manually and through web to compare our results in terms of variation of post MI depression in connection to religious groups.

Table 2: Descriptive overview of the study population with reference to depression

No. No.		rence to depression				
Age (in years)		Variables	Depre	ession	Total	
21-30	no.					•
31-40	1					
41-50			3	0	3	0
51-60		31-40	3	1	4	6.25
61-70		41-50	5	3	8	18.75
Total 34 16 50 100 Sex		51-60	14	9	23	56.25
Sex		61-70	9	3	12	18.75
Male		Total	34	16	50	100
Female	2	Sex				
Total 34 16 50 100 Religion		Male	25	12	37	
Religion		Female				
Hindu 30			34	16	50	100
Muslim 2 4 6 25 Christian 2 0 2 0 Total 34 16 50 100 4 Marital status Unmarried 7 3 10 18.75 Married 27 13 40 81.25 Total 34 16 50 100 5 Locality Rural 19 7 26 43.75 Semi-urban 11 6 17 37.5 Urban 4 3 7 18.75 Total 34 16 50 100 6 Type of family 7 10 10 10 Muclear 25 11 36 68.75 12 12.5 Extended 6 0 6 0 0 0 100 10 7 Education 10 11 1 12 6.25 <td< td=""><td>3</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	3	-				
Christian 2				12		75
Total 34 16 50 100 Marital status Unmarried 7 3 10 18.75 Married 27 13 40 81.25 Total 34 16 50 100 Locality Rural 19 7 26 43.75 Semi-urban 11 6 17 37.5 Urban 4 3 7 18.75 Total 34 16 50 100 Type of family Nuclear 25 11 36 68.75 Extended 6 0 6 0 Joint 3 5 8 31.25 Total 34 16 50 100 Education Illiterate 0 2 2 12.5 Primary school 5 4 9 25 Middle school 11 1 1 12 6.25 High school 7 5 12 31.25 Graduate or post 11 4 15 25 Graduate or post 11 4 15 25 Graduate or post 11 6 6.25 Skilled worker 4 0 4 0 Semiskilled worker 4 0 4 0 Semiskilled worker 5 1 6 6.25 Skilled worker 2 2 4 12.5 Clerical/shop 10 7 17 43.75 owner/farmer Semi professional 2 0 2 0 Total 34 16 50 100 Socioeconomic status Upper middle (III) 14 9 23 56.25 Lower middle (III) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 3 6.25						
Married		Christian		0		0
Unmarried			34	16	50	100
Married 27	4					
Total 34 16 50 100 Locality Rural 19 7 26 43.75 Semi-urban 11 6 17 37.5 Urban 4 3 7 18.75 Total 34 16 50 100 Type of family Nuclear 25 11 36 68.75 Extended 6 0 6 0 Joint 3 5 8 31.25 Total 34 16 50 100 Education Illiterate 0 2 2 12.5 Primary school 5 4 9 25 Middle school 11 1 12 6.25 High school 7 5 12 31.25 Graduate or post 11 4 15 25 graduate Total 34 16 50 100 Coccupation Unemployed 11 6 17 37.5 Unskilled worker 4 0 4 0 Semiskilled worker 5 1 6 6.25 Skilled worker 2 2 4 12.5 Clerical/shop 10 7 17 43.75 owner/farmer Semi professional 2 0 2 0 Total 34 16 50 100 Socioeconomic status Upper middle (III) 14 9 23 56.25 Lower middle (III) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25						
S						
Rural 19 7 26 43.75 Semi-urban 11 6 17 37.5 Urban 4 3 7 18.75 Total 34 16 50 100 6 Type of family Nuclear 25 11 36 68.75 Extended 6 0 6 0 Joint 3 5 8 31.25 Total 34 16 50 100 7 Education Illiterate 0 2 2 12.5 Primary school 5 4 9 25 Middle school 11 1 12 6.25 High school 7 5 12 31.25 Graduate or post 11 4 15 25 graduate Total 34 16 50 100 8 Occupation Unemployed 11 6 17 37.5 Graduate worker 4 0 4 0 Semiskilled worker 4 0 4 0 Semiskilled worker 5 1 6 6.25 Skilled worker 2 2 4 12.5 Clerical/shop 10 7 17 43.75 owner/farmer Semi professional 2 0 2 0 Total 34 16 50 100 9 Socioeconomic status Upper middle (III) 14 9 23 56.25 Lower middle (III) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25			34	16	50	100
Semi-urban	5	•				
Urban						
Total 34 16 50 100 Type of family Nuclear 25 11 36 68.75 Extended 6 0 6 0 Joint 3 5 8 31.25 Total 34 16 50 100 Education Illiterate 0 2 2 12.5 Primary school 5 4 9 25 Middle school 11 1 1 12 6.25 High school 7 5 12 31.25 Graduate or post 11 4 15 25 graduate Total 34 16 50 100 Occupation Unemployed 11 6 17 37.5 Unskilled worker 4 0 4 0 Semiskilled worker 4 0 4 0 Semiskilled worker 5 1 6 6.25 Skilled worker 2 2 4 12.5 Clerical/shop 10 7 17 43.75 owner/farmer Semi professional 2 0 2 0 Total 34 16 50 100 Socioeconomic status Upper middle (III) 14 9 23 56.25 Lower middle (IIII) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25						
6 Type of family Nuclear 25 11 36 68.75 Extended 6 0 6 0 Joint 3 5 8 31.25 Total 34 16 50 100 7 Education Illiterate 0 2 2 12.5 Primary school 5 4 9 25 Middle school 11 1 12 6.25 High school 7 5 12 31.25 Graduate or post graduate 11 4 15 25 Graduate or post graduate 34 16 50 100 8 Occupation 0 4 0 4 0 Unemployed 11 6 17 37.5 0 Unskilled worker 4 0 4 0 Semiskilled worker 5 1 6 6.25 Skilled worker 2 2 4 12.5 Clerical/shop owner/farmer 34 16						
Nuclear 25	•		34	16	50	100
Extended 6 0 6 0 Joint 3 5 8 31.25 Total 34 16 50 100 7 Education Illiterate 0 2 2 2 12.5 Primary school 5 4 9 25 Middle school 11 1 1 12 6.25 High school 7 5 12 31.25 Graduate or post 11 4 15 25 graduate Total 34 16 50 100 8 Occupation Unemployed 11 6 17 37.5 Unskilled worker 4 0 4 0 Semiskilled worker 5 1 6 6.25 Skilled worker 2 2 4 12.5 Clerical/shop 10 7 17 43.75 owner/farmer Semi professional 2 0 2 0 Total 34 16 50 100 9 Socioeconomic status Upper middle (III) 14 9 23 56.25 Lower middle (III) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25	6		0.5	44	0.0	00.75
Joint Total Tota						
Total 34 16 50 100 Total 0 2 2 12.5 Primary school 5 4 9 25 Middle school 11 1 1 2 6.25 High school 7 5 12 31.25 Graduate or post 11 4 15 25 graduate Total 34 16 50 100 Nemployed 11 6 17 37.5 Unemployed 11 6 17 37.5 Unskilled worker 4 0 4 0 Semiskilled worker 5 1 6 6.25 Skilled worker 2 2 4 12.5 Clerical/shop 10 7 17 43.75 owner/farmer Semi professional 2 0 2 0 Total 34 16 50 100 Socioeconomic status Upper middle (III) 14 9 23 56.25 Lower middle (III) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25						
Teducation Illiterate 0 2 2 12.5						
Illiterate	7		34	16	50	100
Primary school 5	1		0	2	2	10.5
Middle school						
High school 7 5 12 31.25 Graduate or post graduate 11 4 15 25 Total 34 16 50 100 Unemployed 11 6 17 37.5 Unskilled worker 4 0 4 0 Semiskilled worker 5 1 6 6.25 Skilled worker 2 2 4 12.5 Clerical/shop 10 7 17 43.75 owner/farmer Semi professional 2 0 2 0 Total 34 16 50 100 Socioeconomic status Upper middle (II) 14 9 23 56.25 Lower middle (III) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25				=		
Graduate or post graduate Total 34 16 50 100 8 Occupation Unemployed 11 6 17 37.5 Unskilled worker 4 0 4 0 Semiskilled worker 5 1 6 6.25 Skilled worker 2 2 4 12.5 Clerical/shop 10 7 17 43.75 owner/farmer Semi professional 2 0 2 0 Total 34 16 50 100 9 Socioeconomic status Upper middle (II) 14 9 23 56.25 Lower middle (III) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25						
Total 34 16 50 100			-	-	. –	
8 Occupation Unemployed 11 6 17 37.5 Unskilled worker 4 0 4 0 Semiskilled worker 5 1 6 6.25 Skilled worker 2 2 4 12.5 Clerical/shop 10 7 17 43.75 owner/farmer Semi professional 2 0 2 0 Total 34 16 50 100 9 Socioeconomic status Upper middle (II) 14 9 23 56.25 Lower middle (III) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25			""	4	13	25
Unemployed 11 6 17 37.5 Unskilled worker 4 0 4 0 Semiskilled worker 5 1 6 6.25 Skilled worker 2 2 4 12.5 Clerical/shop 10 7 17 43.75 owner/farmer Semi professional 2 0 2 0 Total 34 16 50 100 9 Socioeconomic status Upper middle (II) 14 9 23 56.25 Lower middle (III) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25		Total	34	16	50	100
Unskilled worker 4 0 4 0 Semiskilled worker 5 1 6 6.25 Skilled worker 2 2 4 12.5 Clerical/shop 10 7 17 43.75 owner/farmer Semi professional 2 0 2 0 Total 34 16 50 100 9 Socioeconomic status Upper middle (II) 14 9 23 56.25 Lower middle (III) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25	8	Occupation				
Semiskilled worker 5			11	6	17	
Skilled worker 2 2 4 12.5 Clerical/shop 10 7 17 43.75 owner/farmer Semi professional 2 0 2 0 Total 34 16 50 100 9 Socioeconomic status Upper middle (II) 14 9 23 56.25 Lower middle (III) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25		Unskilled worker	4	0	4	0
Clerical/shop owner/farmer Semi professional 2 0 2 0 Total 34 16 50 100 Socioeconomic status Upper middle (II) 14 9 23 56.25 Lower middle (III) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25		Semiskilled worker	5	1	6	6.25
owner/farmer Semi professional 2 0 2 0 Total 34 16 50 100 9 Socioeconomic status Upper middle (II) 14 9 23 56.25 Lower middle (III) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25		Skilled worker	2	2	4	12.5
Semi professional 2 0 2 0 Total 34 16 50 100 Socioeconomic status Upper middle (II) 14 9 23 56.25 Lower middle (III) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25		Clerical/shop	10	7	17	43.75
Total 34 16 50 100 9 Socioeconomic status Upper middle (II) 14 9 23 56.25 Lower middle (III) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25						
9 Socioeconomic status Upper middle (II) 14 9 23 56.25 Lower middle (III) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25		Semi professional	2	0	2	0
Upper middle (II) 14 9 23 56.25 Lower middle (III) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25		Total	34	16	50	100
Lower middle (III) 18 6 24 37.5 Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25	9	Socioeconomic status				
Upper lower (IV) 2 1 3 6.25		Upper middle (II)	14	9	23	56.25
		Lower middle (III)	18	6	24	37.5
Total 34 16 50 100		Upper lower (IV)	2	1	3	6.25
		Total	34	16	50	100

The possibility of evolution of depressive symptoms or full blown depressive disorder after an attack of MI depends upon biological and various psychological factors as suggested by many studies. Acute MI induces sympathetic activation of hypothalamo-pituitary axis dysfunction, platelet activation, increased level of cytokine, decreased variability in heart rate, overall poor physical condition, increased rate of hospitalisation, unemployment, stress and lack of social support, etc. which may ultimately lead to a depressive episode.[28-32]. So, as time went there were more probability of impact of these psychosocial factors, and eventually caused a disturbed psychological state. This could be a conceivable clarification for the increment in number of depressed individuals in the subsequent visits. A recent study also has demonstrated that among CAD patients, carriers of the short allele of the serotonin transporter promoter region gene (5-HTTLPR) are more vulnerable to depression.[33,34]

Summary and conclusion

The end of investigation uncovers that the onset of myocardial injury has a considerable association connection with the occurrence of depression. Our study has limitation like a low number of sample size. Anyway our study was of one year span. Alongside with we needed to exclude all co-morbid medical illnesses including hypertension and diabetes mellitus, which were always part and parcel of MI. Thus, on that point was a deficient opportunity to get an extraordinary number of samples for the study.

A similar trend of post MI depression is found in our state also in comparison to western and Indian studies which were conducted in different states. So we suggest vigilance from the clinician's side while handling cases of MI. Patients with positive screening results ought to be assessed by an expert qualified in the diagnosis and management of depression. Management of depression in these groups of patients improves treatment compliance, lifestyle modification, and thereby leading to a better quality of life.

Future direction

This study holds its value in the preventive psychiatry. Our study is showing the need of screening for depression in a cardiology setup.

References

- Johns Hopkins Medicine. Conditions we treat: Coronary artery disease (ischemic heart disease) [Internet]. [cited 2015 Oct 13]. Available from: http://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/heart_vascular_ institute/conditions treatments/conditions/coronary artery.html.
- World Health Organization. The global burden of disease: 2004 update [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2008 [cited 2015 Oct 13]. Available from: http://www.who.int/ healthinfo/global_burden_disease/GBD_report_2004update_ full.pdf.
- Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Alternative projections of mortality and disability by cause 1990-2020: Global Burden of Disease Study. Lancet. 1997;349:1498-504.
- Joshi R, Cardona M, Iyengar S, Sukumar A, Raju CR, Raju KR, et al. Chronic diseases now a leading cause of death in rural India--mortality data from the Andhra Pradesh Rural Health Initiative. Int J Epidemiol. 2006;35:1522-9.
- Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions

- of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2005;62:593-602.
- Charlson FJ, Stapelberg NJ, Baxter AJ, Whiteford HA. Should global burden of disease estimates include depression as a risk factor for coronary heart disease? BMC Med. 2011;9:47.
- Carney RM, Freedland KE. Does treating depression improve survival after acute coronary syndrome? Invited commentary on. Effects of antidepressant treatment following myocardial infarction. Br J Psychiatry. 2007;190:467-8.
- Lane D, Carroll D, Ring C, Beevers DG, Lip GY. The prevalence and persistence of depression and anxiety following myocardial infarction. Br J Health Psychol. 2002;7(Pt 1):11-21.
- Lauzon C, Beck CA, Huynh T, Dion D, Racine N, Carignan S, et al. Depression and prognosis following hospital admission because of acute myocardial infarction. CMAJ. 2003;168:547-52.
- Calderón J, Gabrielli L, González M, Villarroel L, Castro P, Corbalán R. [Depressive symptoms among patients with acute myocardial infarction. Relationship with revascularization therapy]. [Article in Spanish] Rev Med Chil. 2010;138:701-6.
- 11. Mavrides N, Nemeroff C. Treatment of depression in cardiovascular disease. Depress Anxiety. 2013;30:328-41.
- Wheeler A, Beltrame J, Tucker G, Air T, Ling LH, Schrader G. Depression and 5-year mortality in patients with acute myocardial infarction: Analysis of the IDACC database. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2012;46:669-75.
- Manjarrez-Gutiérrez G, Ramírez-Campillo R, Borrayo-Sánchez G, Hernández-Rodríguez J. Disturbance of serotonergic neurotransmission in patients with postmyocardial infarction and depression. Metab Brain Dis. 2013;28:15-20.
- Mohapatra PK, Kar N, Kar GC, Behera M. Effectiveness of sertraline in treatment of depression in a consecutive sample of patients with acute myocardial infarction: Six month prospective study on outcome. Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health. 2005;1:26.
- Agarwal M, Trivedi JK, Sinh PK, Dalal PK, Saran RK. Depression in patients of myocardial infarction--a cross-sectional study in northern India. J Assoc Physicians India. 2011;59:636-8, 643.
- Carney RM, Saunders RD, Freedland KE, Stein P, Rich MW, Jaffe AS. Association of depression with reduced heart rate variability in coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiol. 1995;76:562-4.
- Alpert JS, Thygesen K, Antman E, Bassand JP. Myocardial infarction redefined--a consensus document of The Joint European Society of Cardiology/American College of Cardiology Committee for the redefinition of myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2000;36:959-69.
- Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB. Validation and utility of a self-report version of PRIME-MD: The PHQ primary care study. Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders. Patient Health Questionnaire. JAMA. 1999;282:1737-44.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnosis and statistical manual of mental disorders. 4th ed. Text rev. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2000.
- Beck AT. Depression: Causes and treatment. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press; 1967.
- Hmar B, Bhagabati D. Study of prevalence of depression in patients following acute myocardial infarction. A thesis submitted to Gauhati University for the degree of Doctorate of Medicine (Psychiatry); 2009.
- Beck AT, Steer RA, Garbin MG. Psychometric properties of the Beck Depression Inventory: Twenty-five years of evaluation. Clin Psychol Rev. 1988;8:77-100.
- Martin CR, Lewin RJ, Thompson DR. A confirmatory factor analysis of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale in coronary care patients following acute myocardial infarction. Psychiatry Res. 2003;120:85-94.
- Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Koretz D, Merikangas KR, et al.; National Comorbidity Survey Replication. The epidemiology of major depressive disorder: Results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R). JAMA. 2003;289:3095-105.
- Martin B. what are the risk factors for depression? PsychCentral [Internet]. 2006 Sep 8 [cited 2015 Oct 13]. Available from: http://psychcentral.com/lib/ what-are-the-risk-factors-for-depression/000515.

- Akhtar-Danesh N, Landeen J. Relation between depression and sociodemographic factors. Int J Ment Health Syst. 2007;1:4.
- Bjelland I, Krokstad S, Mykletun A, Dahl AA, Tell GS, Tambs K. Does a higher educational level protect against anxiety and depression? The HUNT study. Soc Sci Med. 2008;66:1334-45.
- Carney RM, Saunders RD, Freedland KE, Stein P, Rich MW, Jaffe AS. Association of depression with reduced heart rate variability in coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiol. 1995;76:562-4.
- Rumsfeld JS, Havranek E, Masoudi FA, Peterson ED, Jones P, Tooley JF, et al.; Cardiovascular Outcomes Research Consortium. Depressive symptoms are the strongest predictors of short-term declines in health status in patients with heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003;42:1811-7.
- Murberg TA, Bru E. Social relationships and mortality in patients with congestive heart failure. J Psychosom Res. 2001;51:521-7.
- Freedland KE, Carney RM. Depression as a risk factor for adverse outcomes in coronary heart disease. BMC Med. 2013;11:131.
- Joynt KE, Whellan DJ, O'connor CM. Why is depression bad for the failing heart? Areview of the mechanistic relationship between depression and heart failure. J Card Fail. 2004;10:258-71.

- Otte C, McCaffery J, Ali S, Whooley MA. Association of a serotonin transporter polymorphism (5-HTTLPR) with depression, perceived stress, and norepinephrine in patients with coronary disease: The Heart and Soul Study. Am J Psychiatry. 2007;164:1379-84.
- Bhagabati D, Das S. Genetics in depression and cardiovascular disease. In: Seal RK, Talukdar U, Das S, editors. From genie to gene: Genetics in behavioural sciences [Internet]. Barpeta: IPSABS; 2013 [cited 2015 Oct 13]. Available from: https://docs.google.com/viewer?a=v&pid=sites&srcid=ZGVmY XVsdGRvbWFpbnxnZW5pZXRvZ2VuZXxneDo2MTJhNTI5Y TNiNzE0ZTJi.

Dutta H, Ghosh S, Dutta DJ. A follow-up study on post myocardial infarction depression in a tertiary healthcare centre of Assam. Open J Psychiatry Allied Sci. 2016;7:75-9. doi: 10.5958/2394-2061.2016.00006.9. Epub 2015 Oct 21.

Source of support: Nil. Declaration of interest: None.